Ukrainian Journal of Ecology

Ukrainian Journal of Ecology, 2024, 14(3), 28-30, doi: 10.15421/2024_560

PERSPECTIVE

Combatting cyanobacterial toxins: Sustainable strategies for environmental and human health protection

Baird Gregson*

Department of Biology, McMaster University, 1280 Main St W., Hamilton, ON L8S 4L8, Canada

**Corresponding author E-mail: gregson.bai@grd.ca*

Received: *03 May, 2024, Manuscript No: UJE-24-138609;* ***Editor assigned:*** *06 May, 2024, PreQC No: P-138609;* ***Reviewed:*** *18 May, 2024, QC No: Q-138609;* ***Revised:*** *23 May, 2024, Manuscript No: R-138609;* ***Published:*** *30 May, 2024*

Cyanobacterial blooms pose significant threats to aquatic ecosystems and human health due to the production of toxins. Developing economical and environmentally friendly methods to reduce toxin content in cyanobacterial biomass is imperative. This article explores various strategies for toxin mitigation, including physical, chemical and biological approaches. Emphasis is placed on methods that are cost-effective, sustainable and minimize adverse impacts on the environment. By implementing these strategies, we can mitigate the harmful effects of cyanobacterial toxins while promoting ecological balance and human well-being.

Keywords: Cyanobacteria, toxin reduction, economical methods, environmentally friendly, aquatic ecosystems.

Introduction

Cyanobacterial blooms, often referred to as blue-green algae, are a common occurrence in freshwater bodies worldwide. While these blooms are a natural phenomenon, their increasing frequency and intensity have been attributed to various factors, including nutrient pollution, climate change and alterations in aquatic ecosystems. One of the most concerning aspects of cyanobacterial blooms is the production of toxins, which can have detrimental effects on aquatic life, wildlife and human health. Toxins produced by cyanobacteria, such as microcystins, anatoxins, saxitoxins and cylindrospermopsin, pose serious risks to both aquatic organisms and humans. These toxins can cause a range of health problems, including liver damage, neurotoxicity, gastrointestinal illness and even death in severe cases. Therefore, mitigating the toxin content in cyanobacterial biomass is crucial for safeguarding both environmental and public health. Numerous methods have been proposed and implemented to reduce the toxin content in cyanobacterial biomasses. These methods can be broadly classified into physical, chemical and biological approaches, each with its unique advantages and limitations. However, the focus is increasingly shifting towards strategies that are not only effective but also economical and environmentally friendly.

Using physical barriers such as mesh screens or membranes to trap cyanobacterial cells and toxins. Applying ultrasonic waves to disrupt cyanobacterial cells, causing cell lysis and release of toxins. Allowing cyanobacterial cells to settle out of the water column, followed by removal of the sediment. While physical methods can be effective in reducing toxin levels, they often require significant energy input and may not be suitable for large-scale applications. Additionally, they may not completely eliminate toxins, leading to potential re-release into the environment. Using oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide or ozone to degrade cyanobacterial toxins. Utilizing adsorbent materials such as activated carbon or clays to bind and remove toxins from water. Adding chemicals such as alum or ferric chloride to induce aggregation of cyanobacterial cells and toxins, facilitating their removal by filtration or sedimentation.

Description

Chemical methods can be effective in reducing toxin levels, but they may also introduce additional chemicals into the environment and require careful management to prevent unintended consequences. Employing specific bacteria, fungi, or algae capable of metabolizing cyanobacterial toxins to degrade them into non-toxic compounds. Using organisms such as shellfish or certain species of fish to accumulate cyanobacterial toxins, which can then be harvested and removed from the environment? Introducing or enhancing populations of natural predators or competitors of cyanobacteria to control bloom formation and toxin production.
Biological methods offer the potential for sustainable toxin reduction without introducing additional chemicals into the environment. However, they may require longer implementation times and careful consideration of ecosystem dynamics. In selecting methods for toxin reduction in cyanobacterial biomasses, it is essential to prioritize strategies that are both economical and environmentally friendly. Assessing the economic feasibility of implementing a particular method, taking into account factors such as equipment, energy and labor costs. Ensuring that the method does not deplete natural resources or disrupt ecosystem balance in the long term.

Minimizing the release of additional chemicals or by-products that could harm aquatic life or water quality. Integration of multiple methods, such as combining physical filtration with biological biodegradation, may offer synergistic benefits and improve overall effectiveness. Additionally, ongoing research and innovation are essential for developing novel approaches that strike a balance between efficacy, affordability and environmental responsibility. Reducing the toxin content in toxic cyanobacterial biomasses requires a multifaceted approach that considers both effectiveness and sustainability. By employing economical and environmentally friendly methods, we can mitigate the harmful effects of cyanobacterial toxins while promoting the health and resilience of aquatic ecosystems for generations to come. Implementing efficient monitoring programs for cyanobacterial blooms is crucial for early detection and intervention. Early detection allows for timely implementation of toxin reduction strategies, minimizing potential health risks and ecological impacts. Utilizing remote sensing technologies, citizen science initiatives and traditional water quality monitoring methods can enhance our ability to detect and respond to cyanobacterial blooms effectively. Educating the public about the risks associated with cyanobacterial toxins and the importance of toxin reduction measures is essential for fostering community engagement and support. Public outreach efforts can include distributing educational materials, organizing community workshops and leveraging social media platforms to raise awareness about cyanobacterial blooms and the need for proactive management strategies.

Conclusion

Taking an ecosystem-based approach to cyanobacterial bloom management involves considering the broader ecological context and addressing underlying factors contributing to bloom formation. Strategies such as watershed management, wetland restoration and green infrastructure initiatives can help reduce nutrient inputs, improve water quality and enhance ecosystem resilience to cyanobacterial blooms. By promoting holistic and integrated approaches to ecosystem management, we can address the root causes of cyanobacterial blooms and minimize reliance on reactive toxin reduction measures. Incorporating these additional considerations into toxin reduction strategies can further enhance their effectiveness, sustainability and societal benefits. By adopting a comprehensive and proactive approach to cyanobacterial bloom management, we can protect water resources, safeguard public health and preserve the ecological integrity of aquatic ecosystems for future generations.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

Huisman, J., Codd, G. A., Paerl, H. W., Ibelings, B. W., Verspagen, J. M., Visser, P. M. (2018). Cyanobacterial blooms. Nature Reviews Microbiology 16:471-483.

Pearson, L., Mihali, T., Moffitt, M., Kellmann, R., Neilan, B. (2010). On the chemistry, toxicology and genetics of the cyanobacterial toxins, microcystin, nodularin, saxitoxin and cylindrospermopsin. Marine drugs, 8:1650-1680.

Campos, A., Vasconcelos, V. (2010). Molecular mechanisms of microcystin toxicity in animal cells. International Journal Of Molecular Sciences 11:268-287.

Funari, E., Testai, E. (2008). Human health risk assessment related to cyanotoxins exposure. Critical Reviews In Toxicology 38:97-125.

Falsini, S., Rosi, M. C., Ravegnini, E., Schiff, S., Gonnelli, C., Papini, A., Ristori, S. (2023). Nanoformulations with exopolysaccharides from cyanobacteria: Enhancing the efficacy of bioactive molecules in the Mediterranean fruit fly control. Environmental Science and Pollution Research 30:83760-83770.

Citation:

Gregson, B. (2024). Combatting cyanobacterial toxins: Sustainable strategies for environmental and human health protection. *Ukrainian Journal of Ecology.* 14:28-30.